United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

	•			
APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/600,359	06/23/2003	Richard H. Davidson	LIT-021	7098
Arnold D. Litt	90 01/09/2007	EXAMINER		
	Sheridan Cevasco Bottine	AIRAPETIAN, MILA		
Court Plaza North 25 Main Street Hackensack, NJ 07601			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3625	<u> </u>
·	,			
SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD OF RESPONSE MAIL I		MAIL DATE	. DELIVERY MODE	
3 MONT	rhs	01/09/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/600,359	DAVIDSON ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Mila Airapetian	3625			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period v - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICA 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS , cause the application to become ABANI	TION. be timely filed From the mailing date of this communication. DONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 12 December 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b)⊠ This 3)□ Since this application is in condition for allower closed in accordance with the practice under Expression 2.	action is non-final.				
Disposition of Claims					
4) ⊠ Claim(s) 1,6 and 11-13 is/are pending in the ap 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ⊠ Claim(s) 1,6 and 11-13 is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	vn from consideration.				
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accomposed and all accomposed and all accomposed and accomposed accomposed and accomposed accomposed and accomposed and accomposed accomposed and accomposed accomposed and accomposed accomposed and accomposed acco	epted or b) objected to by drawing(s) be held in abeyance ion is required if the drawing(s)	. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 					
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No(s)/N	nmary (PTO-413) //ail Date rmal Patent Application			

Application/Control Number: 10/600,359

Art Unit: 3625

DETAILED ACTION

For the purpose of examination, Examiner interprets phrase "flavor definitions" as "flavor legal status chosen from the group consisting of artificial, natural and artificial, natural flavor, natural type and natural WONF", and "usage categories selected from the group consisting of bakery, dairy, beverage, confections and oral care".

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1, 6, 11-13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Boyden et al. (US 2002/0082733) in view of Jelen et al. (US 6,129,276).

Claim 1. Boyden et al. (Boyden) teaches a system for flavor processing, comprising:

a plurality of consumer workstations linked to a central processing hub (accessing the manufacturer's website over the Internet indicates ability for a plurality of customers to access said website, [0017]);

Application/Control Number: 10/600,359

Art Unit: 3625

the central processing hub (manufacturer's website) including means for providing consumers with information regarding flavors, the means for providing including a flavor search system through which a consumer may identify desired flavor based upon a series of input criteria [0018]; wherein the criteria include flavor descriptors, and usage categories are selected from the group consisting of bakery, dairy, beverage, confections and oral care [0015], [0016];

said flavor search system includes means for searching available flavors via flavor descriptors and flavor definitions [0015], [0016].

Boyden does not teach means for selecting flavor legal status chosen from the group consisting of artificial, natural and artificial, natural flavor, natural type and natural WONF. However, Boyden does teach that artificial flavorings are mixtures of synthetic compounds that may be chemically identical to natural flavorings [0011]. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Boyden to include means for selecting flavor legal status chosen from the group consisting of artificial, natural and artificial, natural flavor, natural type and natural WONF, as disclosed in Boyden, because artificial flavorings are low in cost, thereby decreasing the cost of the final product.

Also, Boyden does not that teach that said system includes a flavor search system which is associated with the central processing hub, said flavor search system including means for providing a search results list of available flavors fulfilling the flavor descriptor requirements and the flavor definition requirements.

Application/Control Number: 10/600,359

Art Unit: 3625

Jelen et al. (Jelen) teaches a system which includes a searchable database which is associated with the server (hub), wherein a user can specify search terms for the type of ingredients in order to find a desired recipe (col. 12, lines 51-60).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Boyden to include a searchable database, as disclosed in Jelen, because it would advantageously provide a low-cost, easy-to-use mechanism by which purchases may be selected and a list of purchase items generated, as specifically taught by Jelen (col. 2, lines 27-30).

Claim 6. Said system wherein the criteria further includes solubility of the flavor (physical form such as water-soluble) [0016].

Claim 11. Said system wherein the means for providing also including means for permitting a user to select the preparation of a custom flavor [0015]; [0016].

Claim 12. Said system wherein the consumer workstations and the central processing hub are connected via the Internet [0015].

Claim 13. Said system further including means for providing general information regarding flavors (flavor type, end use, price) [0016].

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments, with respect to claims 1 and 6-13 have been fully considered and are persuasive. The rejection of claims 1 and 6-13 has been withdrawn.

Art Unit: 3625

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Mila Airapetian whose telephone number is (571) 272-3202. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday 9:30 am - 6:00 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Jeffrey A. Smith can be reached on (571) 272-6763. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

MA

MATTHEW S. GART
MATTHEW S. GART
PRIMARY EXAMINER
PRIMARY EXAMINER
3600
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3600